SnowEx 2021 SWE Report

Mores Creek Site, ID

Survey Date: March 15, 2021

Airborne Snow Observatories, Inc. is a public benefit corporation with a mission to provide high-quality, timely, and accurate snow measurement, modeling, and runoff forecasts to empower the world's water managers to make the best possible use of our planet's precious water.

Historical data and reports can be found at:
data.airbornesnowobservatories.com

Survey Date: March 15, 2021
Report Delivery Date: November 10, 2023

Full site SWE: 15.1 ± 0.6 TAF



Figure 1. Spatial distribution of SWE depth (m).

Table 1. Estimated SWE volume (TAF) for the Mores Creek site.

Site	Estimated SWE (TAF) March 15
Full Site	15.1
Uncertainty range	*14.3 - 15.7*

2.



Figure 2. Distribution of SWE volume (TAF) across elevations for the March 15 survey. See Figure 7 for more descriptive plots.



Figure 3. Daily meteorological conditions at Mores Creek Summit (SNTL 637) (elevation 6100 ft). Note: the raw daily data shown has been downloaded directly from NRCS and has not been quality checked. There may be noise or incorrect data present. Precipitation data will only be shown if the featured station records it, and the air temperature plot shows daily max, mean, and min values. ASO surveys are marked with red vertical lines.

Summary of background conditions

- The 2021 snow season at the Mores Creek site began with regular snowfall events commencing in early November 2020.
- A series of storms in early to mid-November 2020 boosted the snowpack well above the 20-year median, though these storms were followed by a dry spell spanning from mid-November to mid-December. The new year brought regular snowfall events until the SnowEx flight was conducted on March 15th, 2021.

Evaluation of ASO snow depth measurements

Point-to-point comparison of in-situ snow depth with ASO 3 m resolution snow depth* is shown in **Table 2**. These depth comparisons are at stations for which we are very confident in 1) the location, and 2) the depth data that is being reported at the time of the ASO survey. Because we are directly comparing a point to a 3 m pixel in our data, we need to be certain that the station location is accurate to within 1.5 m. For reference, GPS data is usually only accurate to within 5 m, but we are often able to hone in on locations using Google Earth and other means, thereby enabling these comparisons. For these reasons, specific sites might not be included in the comparison. Please contact the ASO team to converge on accurate and precise coordinates and/or investigate data.

At this known and trusted station location in Mores Creek, the mean snow depth uncertainty was -2 cm; however, only one location was available for comparison. SnowEx in-situ measurements in Mores Creek were conducted on March 4th, 2021, 11 days prior to the airborne survey. The temporal difference between the airborne and ground measurements is too large to permit a meaningful snow depth comparison. The standard deviation of biases determined in the relative registration step indicates a snow depth uncertainty of 1.4 cm.

Table 2. Comparison of ASO and snow pillow snow depths. Note: ASO long-term depth uncertainty is ± 5 cm.

Site ID	Elevation (ft)	Date	Site Depth (cm)	ASO Depth (cm)	Depth Difference (cm)
Mores Creek Summit	6100	2021-03-15	188	185	-3

*Note: Snow-free, planar surfaces, common between the snow-on and snow-off datasets, are used to co- register the elevation datasets throughout the site. This relative registration process ensures that in areas without snow, we measure a snow depth of 0, and enforces snow depth accuracy throughout the site. At 3 m resolution, the standard deviation of snow depth distribution was 0.01 m, unbiased. At 50 m resolution, the snow depth uncertainty based on a rigorous bare surface evaluation is less than 1 cm.

The airborne lidar data for this survey were collected by a third-party contractor using parameters different from conventional ASO surveys. During the time of survey, March 15th, 2021, the target area at Mores Creek was almost completely snow covered with limited bare surfaces to use for the standard ASO relative registration procedure, which is designed to ensure homogenous snow depth accuracies throughout the domain. The relatively narrow road that traverses the SE corner of the target domain was the only target available for the relative registration of snow-off and snow-on elevation data sets, and as such we do not have relative registration control across the full target area. Though geographically constrained spatial distribution of registration control may be typical for more conventional topographic lidar surveys, for snow depth mapping it makes reliable assessment of the snow depth accuracy more difficult. We expect that this may introduce uncertainties into the snow depth values on the order of several cm.

The SnowEx field campaigns collected snow pit profiles of depth, density, and SWE within each field site. The field measurements were not specifically designed for assessment of lidar snow depth retrievals. Several sources of uncertainty make these pit data unsuitable for lidar snow depth comparison while remaining valuable for the site-wide snow density estimation. Pits were sampled in the days before and/or after the flight acquisition, which due to ongoing compaction will result in different depths from that at the time of the flight. Georegistration of the snow pits is uncertain (especially in forested areas), often placing the measurement location in the wrong 3m pixel. The precise location within the pit of the depth measurement is unknown, as is the contribution of fine-scale surface undulations to the ruler placement and depth measurement. Disturbance of the snow from pit digging and refilling can also contribute to (sometimes large) differences in depth from the airborne measurement where the pit measurements were conducted prior to the lidar flight. As such, we direct data users to the post-registration histogram of residual biases at bare targets (**Figure 4**) to indicate the uncertainty in snow depth throughout the domain, and to the SNOTEL depth comparisons as a secondary confirmation of the snow depth accuracies.



Figure 4. Histogram of residual biases after relative registration of the snow-off and snow-free elevation data sets. The median bias is 0.00 m and the standard deviation is 0.014 m.

In-situ measurements

Field collections

- ASO field team did not conduct field work coincident with this survey.
- SnowEx field teams conducted fieldwork on March 4th, 11 days prior to the airborne survey.
- The mean snow density from a 2.55 m snow pit at 7500 ft elevation near Mores Creek Summit was 321 kg/m³.
- Due to the ongoing densification of the snowpack, this snow pit has been time-adjusted to the airborne survey based on the densification rate at the nearby Mores Creek SNOTEL, resulting in an estimated bulk snow density of 365 kg/m³.



Figure 5. Daily snow density timeseries at automated sensor locations in the Mores Creek site. (Data source: NRCS).

Sensor measurements

- The snow density reported from the Mores Creek Summit SNOTEL site on March 15th was 364 kg/m³.

Snow course measurements

- The March snow course measurement from one location, Mores Creek Summit, was available at the time of processing. This survey was conducted on March 1st and reported a snow density of 300 kg/m³.
- The ongoing densification of the snowpack affected snow density between the collection window and the ASO survey. The densification rate has been estimated at 4 kg/m³/day based on the Mores Creek Summit SNOTEL, and has been used to time-adjust the snow course measurement to the airborne survey.
- After adjustments, the estimated bulk density of the snow course collection is 356 kg/m³.

Model evaluation

- The in-situ measurements span a small range of values between 356 - 365 kg/m³, and suggest a constant density across the scene of ~364 kg/m³. However, there is some uncertainty in snow density as the provided in-situ measurements were taken 14 to 11 days prior to the airborne survey and were time-adjusted based on the Mores Creek Summit SNOTEL densification rate. Additionally, there were no measurements available in low to middle elevations or low snow depth areas.
- To address this uncertainty in bulk snow density, we have generated two snow density scenarios. In Scenario H, we increased the density map globally by 4.4% - towards a value of 380 kg/m³. In Scenario L, we decreased the density map globally by 5.2% - towards a value of 345 kg/m³.
- The resulting full site SWE outcomes for these scenarios were 15.8 TAF and 14.4 TAF respectively, and suggest that the site SWE is sensitive to uncertainty in the snow density in the order of 5% of the total sitewide SWE volume. These scenarios span beyond the full range of the in-situ measurements and should be interpreted as guidance on sensitivity to snow density rather than equally probable SWE outcomes. We have factored uncertainty based on these outcomes into the values reported on the front page of this report.

Table 3. Snow density scenarios and SWE volume estimates. The ASO density is used in calculating the reported SWE. The other density scenarios are computed to evaluate the density sensitivity and to help determine the uncertainty in the reported SWE values.

Scenario	Spatial-mean density (kg/m³)	SWE (TAF)	Description
ASO	364	15.1	ASO depths
Scenario L	345	14.3	Decreased density map globally by 5.2% + ASO depths
Scenario H	380	15.7	Increased density map globally by 4.4% + ASO depths

6.a.



6.b.



Figure 6. Observed and modeled bulk snow density (kg/m³) by a. elevation (ft) and b. snow depth. The horizontal axes on these plots span the elevation and snow depth ranges across the full Mores Creek target area.

Additional data / remarks

- Please refer to the text files included in the data package for SWE volume per elevation band and other summary statistics.

7.a.



7.b.



Figure 7. Difference plots of SWE volume (TAF) and depth (in) across elevations. 7.a. Distribution of SWE volume (TAF) across elevations. 7.b. Distribution of SWE depth (in) across elevations; solid lines represent median SWE depth (in), lighter color bands represent the 25th to 75th percentiles.